

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



3

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/898,019	07/05/2001	Seong-Soon Ahn	IK-022	9281	
7.	590 01/14/2003				
FLESHNER & KIM, LLP			EXAMINER		
P.O. Box 221200 Chantilly, VA 20153-1200			LEUNG, PHILIP H		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3742		
			DATE MAILED: 01/14/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

M

## Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/898,019

Applicant(s)

Examiner

Philip H. Leung

Art Unit **3742** 

AHN

	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears of	on the cover s	sheet with t	the correspondence address		
	or Reply					
	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	TO EXPIRE _	three	MONTH(S) FROM		
Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the						
mailing date of this communication If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.						
- If NO p - Failure	eriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply ar to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the	nd will expire SIX e application to be	(6) MONTHS fro come ABANDO	om the meiling date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
- Any re	by received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	is communication	, even if timely	filed, may reduce any		
Status						
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Oct 30, 20	002				
2a) 💢	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3) 🗆	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposi	tion of Claims					
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>6-19</u>			is/are pending in the application.		
4	a) Of the above, claim(s)			is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
5) 🗆	Claim(s)			is/are allowed.		
6) 💢	Claim(s) 6-19			is/are rejected.		
7) 🗆	Claim(s)			is/are objected to.		
8) 🗆	Claims	a	re subject	to restriction and/or election requirement.		
Applica	tion Papers					
9) 🗌	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	a) 🗆 accep	ted or b)	$\square$ objected to by the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)💢	The proposed drawing correction filed on Oct 30	, 2002	is: a) 💢 a	pproved b) $\square$ disapproved by the Examiner.		
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami	ner.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) 🗌	13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) □ All b) □ Some* c) □ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
	<ol> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the priority do application from the International Burea</li> </ol>			ceived in this National Stage		
*S	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the	e certified co	pies not re	eceived.		
14) 🗌	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority unde	er 35 U.S.(	C. § 119(e).		
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.						
15)  Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachm		[7]				
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).				
_	ormation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  6) Other:				
. 31 ☐ IUI	omiation disclosure statement(s) (FTO-1445) Paper No(s).	or L Jounes:				

Application/Control Number: 09/898,019 Page 2

Art Unit: 3742

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. The specification is objected to because it does not include sufficient description on the claimed limitations "the exhaust hood air flow path and the cooling air flow path are separate" at lines 10-11 of claim 6 and "the cooling air flow path is separate from the exhaust air flow path" at lines 6-7 of claim 12. There is no clear description that the two paths are separate. Correction is required but no new matter can be introduced.

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 6-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over *Yoshimura* et al (US 4,314,126) (reference A of the previous Office action) or *Jailor et al* (US 4,418,261) (newly cited), in view of *White et al* (US 4,327,274) (newly cited).

Yoshimura or Jailor discloses the claimed invention except for the exhaust air flow path being separate from the cooling air flow path. White shows that it is well known in the art of microwave oven combined with a hood structure for venting a cooking range below the microwave oven to separate the air path 54 for cooling the electric components from the exhaust air path 48 from the cooking range through the hood structure of the microwave oven (see Figure

Application/Control Number: 09/898,019 Page 3

Art Unit: 3742

3 and col. 5, line 59 - col. 7, line 68). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify *Yoshimura* or *Jailor* to use two separate and distinct air flow paths for cooling the oven electrical components and for venting the exhaust fumes from a cooking range so that the range hood vent feature and the microwave vent feature can be used independently or concurrently as desired, in view of the teaching of *White* (see the abstract and col. 7, lines 58-68).

4. Claims 12-19 are further rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over White et al (US 4,327,274), in view of Jailor et al (US 4,418,261).

White shows that it is well known in the art of microwave oven combined with a hood structure for venting a cooking range below the microwave oven to separate the air path 54 for cooling the electric components from the exhaust air path 48 from the cooking range through the hood structure of the microwave oven (see Figure 3 and col. 5, line 59 - col. 7, line 68). Thus, White discloses the claimed invention except that the ventilation motor is located under the oven chamber instead of above the chamber. Jailor shows that it is well known in the art of combined microwave and range ventilating hoods to place the ventilating blower motor 84 above the microwave oven chamber (see Figure 3 and col. 5, line 30 - col. 6, line 45). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify White to place the ventilating blower on top of the microwave oven for easy access to the ventilating blower chamber for servicing and/or reorientation of the blower, in view of the teaching of Jailor (see col. 6, lines 9-15).

Application/Control Number: 09/898,019 Page 4

Art Unit: 3742

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 6-19 have been considered but are moot in

view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office

action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is

reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for response to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the date of this action. In the event a first response is filed within TWO

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event will the

statutory period for response expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning any communication from the examiner should be directed to

Examiner Leung whose telephone number is (703) 308-1710. The examiner can normally be

reached on Monday to Friday from 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Teresa Walberg, can be reached on (703) 308-1327. The fax phone number for this Group is

(703) 305-3463.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0861.

PRIMARY EXAMINER

**ART UNIT 3742** 

P.Leung/pl

1-9-03